



Performance Contract: City of Jackson Case Study

Qingbin Cui Dena Morgan

Aging Infrastructure in U.S.

WATER & ENVIRONMENT		TRANSPORTATION
Dams	D	Aviation
Orinking Water	D	Bridges
lazardous Waste	D	Inland Waterways
evees	D-	Ports
Solid Waste	B ⁻	Rail
Vastewater	D	Roads
		Transit

\$45 billion funded, \$105 unfunded

Financing Innovation

- Water infrastructure and Funding Innovation Act
- Community Based Public Private Partnership
- Utility Energy Service Contract (UESC/EPC)

COJ Background

- <u>Jan 2010:</u> Jackson City DPW suffered over 150 water main breaks
- 2010 2012: Jackson DPW cited for several violations of Clean
 Water Act due to bypass issues at 2 water treatment plants
- Aug 2011: Jackson water and sewer securities downgraded by Moody's Investor Service due to high debt ratio
- May 2012: Jackson City contracts with Siemens to perform utility audit
- □ <u>January 2013:</u> Jackson City contracts with Siemens for 24 month construction to:
 - Replace 65,000 water meters
 - Upgrade 2 WTPs
 - Repair aging sewer lines

Background (Con't)

- □ Fall 2014: Siemens invoiced 80% of contract
 - □ 70% of WTP sewer upgrades complete
 - 40% of meters installed
- <u>February 2015:</u> Jackson City DPW Director stopped all work when installation of several of the wrong type meters were discovered
- **2015-2016:** Legal action

9/13/2016

What is UESC/EPC Additional savings of energy price increase Customer Energy & Operational costs **Guaranteed Savings** Savings by Siemens Baseline Reduced costs due to performance based solutions Time (years) Duration of program Start of positive Customers to retain environmental impact all savings ESCO ESCO UC Execute EPC Negotiate performs determines determines Hire ESCO project contract

FIMs

ΙGΑ

EPC via bility

Siemens' EPC Tasks and Contracted Costs

Siemens Contract Cost

TASK COST Project Development/ PMO/Mobilization \$ 12,959,355 Billing Software \$ 11,320,444 Water Meters (both large and small) \$ 39,889,440 Water Treatment Plant Upgrades (2 plants) \$ 10,969,673				
PMO/Mobilization Billing Software Water Meters (both large and small) Water Treatment Plant Upgrades (2 plants) Sewer Line Infrastructure	TASK	COST		
Water Meters (both large and small) \$ 39,889,440 Water Treatment Plant Upgrades (2 plants) \$ 10,969,673		\$ 12,959,355		
and small) Water Treatment Plant Upgrades (2 plants) Sewer Line Infrastructure	Billing Software	\$ 11,320,444		
Upgrades (2 plants) \$ 10,969,673		\$ 39,889,440		
Sewer Line Infrastructure	Trate: Heatine	\$ 10,969,673		
Upgrades \$ 15,844,194	Sewer Line Infrastructure Upgrades	\$ 15,844,194		
TOTAL COST \$ 90,983,106	TOTAL COST	\$ 90,983,106		



Siemens' Guaranteed Savings

Guaranteed Savings by Siemens to City of Jackson								
PERFORMANCE PERIOD	SMALL METER BILLABLE USAGE INCREASES	LARGE METER BILLABLE USAGE INCREASES	OPERATIONAL	DEFERRED MAINTENANCE	TOTAL			
Construction	\$ 484,347	\$ 501,802	\$ 503,750	\$ -	\$ 1,489,899			
Post-Construction								
Year 1	\$ 2,421,737	\$ 1,003,604	\$ 2,015,200	\$ 1,750,000	\$ 7,190,541			
Year 2	2,555,055	\$ 1,003,604	\$ 2,075,656	\$ 1,750,000	\$ 7,384,315			
Year 3	3 \$ 2,688,373	\$ 1,003,604	\$ 2,137,926	\$ 1,750,000	\$ 7,579,903			
Year 4	\$ 2,821,691	\$ 1,003,604	\$ 2,202,063	\$ 1,750,000	\$ 7,777,358			
Year 5	\$ 2,955,010	\$ 1,003,604	\$ 2,268,125	\$ 1,750,000	\$ 7,976,739			
Year 6	\$ 2,955,010	\$ 1,003,604	\$ 2,336,169	\$ 1,750,000	\$ 8,044,783			
Year 7	\$ 2,955,010	\$ 1,003,604	\$ 2,406,254	\$ 1,750,000	\$ 8,114,868			
Year 8	3 \$ 2,955,010	\$ 1,003,604	\$ 2,478,442	\$ 1,750,000	\$ 8,187,056			
Year 9	\$ 2,955,010	\$ 1,003,604	\$ 2,552,795	\$ 1,750,000	\$ 8,261,409			
Year 10	\$ 2,955,010	\$ 1,003,604	\$ 2,629,379	\$ 1,750,000	\$ 8,337,993			
Year 11	\$ 2,955,010	\$ 1,003,604	\$ 2,708,260	\$ 1,750,000	\$ 8,416,874			
Year 12	2 \$ 2,955,010	\$ 1,003,604	\$ 2,789,508	\$ 1,750,000	\$ 8,498,122			
Year 13	3 \$ 2,955,010	\$ 1,003,604	\$ 2,873,193	\$ 1,750,000	\$ 8,581,807			
Year 14	\$ 2,955,010	\$ 1,003,604	\$ 2,959,389	\$ 1,750,000	\$ 8,668,003			
Year 15	\$ 2,955,010	\$ 1,003,604	\$ 3,048,171	\$ 1,750,000	\$ 8,756,785			
TOTALS	A2 47/ 242	* 45 555 0/2	¢ 27.004.200	ф 2/ 250 000	¢ 122.2// 455			

What Went Wrong

Contractual Issues

- Non-traditional EPC upfront payments made to Siemens
- Only the installation of small water meters was included in the M&V system
 - Measured accuracy only of sample set
 - No installation monitoring wrong meters
- Savings guarantee included stipulated (non-verified) items shortfall risk for large meters
 - Large meters assumed more accurate increasing revenue
 - No installation monitoring
 - No accuracy testing
 - No verification that actual savings is equal to or greater than stipulated
- □ Savings guarantee included operational items shortfall risk
 - Fewer new employee requests
 - Vehicles
 - Re-reads and locates

Findings (Con't)

Personnel Issues

- Jackson contract reviewers were not skilled in EPCs
- Not enough skilled Jackson personnel to manage the budget and schedule
- Not enough Jackson personnel to manage the installation and M&V processes to ensure savings were realized

Conclusions

- Risk associated innovation
- Capacity Building at Public Agency
- Measurement and Verification Process